Interview Summary	09/769,533	MITSUOKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas D. Rosen	3625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	•	
(1) <u>Nicholas D. Rosen</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Thomas Jones</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>07 November 2007</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's represe	ntative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g)⊡ was not reached.   I	n)	
claims could be allowed, but claim 10 had to go. Mr. Jones said that he would fax a message to his client in Japan, and hoped to receive authorization to agree to an Examiner's Amendment. On November 7, Mr. Jones called Examiner Rosen to agree to cancel claim 10.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner'	s signature, if requir	red

Application No.

Applicant(s)